ORIGINAL PAPER

Formation and isomerization of dicyclopenta[*de,mn*] anthracene. Electronic Structure Study

Sonja Stanković • Svetlana Marković • Slavko Radenković • Ivan Gutman

Received: 28 October 2008 / Accepted: 18 December 2008 / Published online: 29 January 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract The formation of dicyclopenta[de,mn]anthracene (P1) and its isomerization into dicyclopenta[jk,mn]phenanthrene (P3) was investigated using density functional theory. It was shown that P1 is formed from 1,4-diethynilanthracene, but due to its instability, it undergoes further transformation. This transformation involves rearrangements of some hydrogen atoms and ring contraction/ring expansion process, yielding as a final product the isomer P3. The energies of activation for the P1 \rightarrow P3 intraconversion show that this reaction is competitive to the other, previously investigated isomerization of P1 into dicyclopenta[de,kl]anthracene (P2). In addition, our investigation shows that the formation of P3 from P1 is energetically more favorable than the formation of P3 from P2. Thus, the presence of the isomer P3 in the reaction mixtures could also be caused by the isomerization of the very unstable isomer P1.

Keywords Density functional calculations · Energy profile · Reaction mechanism · Thermal rearrangement

Introduction

The thermal isomerizations of some cyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (CP-PAHs) has been a subject of many semiempirical [1–3] and DFT [3–9] studies. One of the reasons for this lies in the fact that CP-PAHs are

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00894-009-0453-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

S. Stanković (⊠) · S. Marković · S. Radenković · I. Gutman Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac,
P.O. Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia
e-mail: sonja.chem@gmail.com considered to be responsible for the genotoxicity of mixtures produced by incomplete combustion of various hydrocarbon-containing fuel sources [10-12]. In order to obtain various CP-PAHs in high yields, many flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) experiments were performed using appropriate starting compounds [1, 2, 13–17]. For example, Scott et al. [13] exposed 1,4-bis(1-chlorovinyl) anthracene to high temperatures in the FVP experiments to produce dicyclopenta[de,mn]anthracene (P1). However, their attempts failed, and dicyclopenta[de,kl]anthracene (P2) was detected at 900 °C. In addition, at even higher temperatures (1100 °C) the mixture of P2 and dicyclopenta[*ik,mn*] phenanthrene (P3) was obtained. Although they never succeeded to detect P1, Scott et al. suggested that P1 did form via 1,4-diethynylanthracene (I1) and 5-ethynilaceanthrylene (I3), but due to its low stability, it underwent isomerization into P2. The structures of P1, P2, P3, I1 and I3 are shown in Fig. 1. The results of our recent computational study [7] were in agreement with the unsuccessful syntheses of P1, stability of P2 at higher temperatures, and formation of P3 under extreme temperature regime in the FVP processes. However, it was suggested that P3 was formed only at the expense of P2.

The aim of this paper is to show that the isomerization of P1 into P3 is not less likely to occur *via* a different mechanism that excludes the isomer P2 as a precursor. The energetically competitive way of forming the isomer P3 from P1 is presented. The mechanism of the formation of P1 *via* I1 and I3 is also studied, indicating again that P1 is a precursor that should not be neglected in this kind of isomerization processes.

Computational methods

In order to provide compatibility of the results of this work with the findings of our previous investigation [7], we used



Fig. 1 The structures of dicyclopenta[*de,mn*]anthracene (P1), dicyclopenta[*de,kl*]anthracene (P2), dicyclopenta[*jk,mn*]phenanthrene (P3), 1,4-diethynilanthracene (I1) and 5-ethynilaceanthrylene (I3)

the same computational methods. Thus, geometrical parameters of all stationary points and transition states for the formation and isomerization of dicyclopenta[de,mn] anthracene were optimized in vacuum, at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory [18–20], using the GAUSSIAN 03 program package [21]. All calculated structures were confirmed to be local minima (all positive eigenvalues) for ground state structures, or first-order saddle points (one negative eigenvalue) for transition state structures, by frequency calculations. The intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRCs), from the transition states down to the two lower energy structures, were traced using the IRC routine in Gaussian in order to verify that each saddle point is linked with two putative minima. Evolution of relevant bonds along the reaction pathway was estimated using the natural bond orbital analysis [22].

Results and discussion

The first part of our work is devoted to the formation of P1 from 1,4-diethynilanthracene (I1), and the second part examines the isomerization of P1 into P3. The reactions proceed *via* the intermediates I2 – I7 and transition states TS1 – TS8 (Fig. 2). The optimized geometries of all transition states are presented in Fig. 3. Table 1 contains bond distances that undergo significant changes during the isomerization of I1 into P1, whereas Table 2 represents bond distances in the P1 \rightarrow P3 isomerization process. The energetic diagram of the transformation of I1 into P3 is presented in Fig. 4, and the total energies, enthalpies, and free energies of all relevant species are provided as Electronic supplementary material.

The NBO analysis of I1 shows that C9 and C2 bear partial negative charges, while H5 and H1 are partially positively charged (Fig. 5). Our calculations reveal a nucleophilic attack of C9 on H5, where the carbene intermediate I2 is formed *via* the transition state TS1. An activation energy (E_a) of 310.71 kJ mol⁻¹ is required for this step of the reaction. In TS1 the C10-H5 bond is being broken, whereas the C9-H5 and C9-C10 bonds are being formed (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The results of the IRC calculation for TS1 are given in the Electronic supplementary material. The NBO analysis of the carbene I2 reveals a lone pair in the sp^{1.17} orbital on C8. In addition, the greatest contribution to the HOMO of I2 comes from C8 (Fig. 5). These facts indicate that C8 will perform a nucleophilic attack on a partially positively charged H4 or H5 (the NBO charge=0.250). This attack leads to the formation of I3 via TS2 (Fig. 2), requiring E_a of 36.63 kJ mol⁻¹. In TS2 (Fig. 3) the C8, C9 and H4 atoms form a three-membered cycle where H4 lies over the molecular plane. As the C9-H4 bond is broken and the C8-H4 bond is formed (Table 1), the intermediate I3 is produced. This intermediate contains one closed fivemembered ring. This feature can be attributed to its particular stability (Table 1 in Electronic supplementary material and Fig. 4). In a similar manner, C2 in I3 invokes a cleavage of the C1-H1 bond, with the simultaneous formation of the C1-C2 and C2-H1 bonds (Fig. 2). This step occurs via TS3 with E_a of 339.76 kJ mol⁻¹, giving the intermediate I4. A lone electron pair in the $sp^{1.13}$ orbital on C3 of I4 causes a shift of H2 from C2 to C3, building P1 via TS4 (Fig. 2) with E_a of 49.25 kJ mol⁻¹.

Table 1 in Electronic supplementary material and Fig. 4 show that the formations of TS1 and TS3 are energetically most demanding steps in the I1 \rightarrow P1 isomerization. If we examine the reverse reaction, i.e., the P1 \rightarrow I1 isomerization, we see that the conversions of P1 into I4 (E_a=294.59 kJ mol⁻¹) and I3 into I2 (E_a=300.29 kJ mol⁻¹) are the steps that require the highest energies of activation (see Table 1 in Electronic supplementary material). Obviously, these activation barriers are slightly lower than those required for the formations of TS1 from I1 and TS3 from I3, and indicate that the isomerization P1 \rightarrow I1 is likely to occur. However, we assume that low stability of I1 shifts the reaction to the direction of the formation of P1, which is by 153.98 kJ mol⁻¹ more stable than I1.

Our investigation reveals that, under the extreme temperature regime of the FVP experiments, P1 suffers further transformations, thus yielding P3. The P1 \rightarrow P3 isomerization starts with hydrogen (H3) transfer from C5 to C4 (Fig. 2), which is a common case in electron rich cyclic conjugated systems [1–4, 13–17, 23–25]. The formation of TS5, where H3 lies between the C4 and C5 atoms (Fig. 3), requires Ea of 354.89 kJ mol⁻¹. As a result of this shift, C4 changes its hybridization from sp² in P1 to



Fig. 2 Mechanism of the formation of dicyclopenta[*de,mn*]anthracene (P1) from 1,4-diethynylanthracene (I1), and its isomerization into dicyclopenta[*jk,mn*]phenanthrene (P3). I2 - I7 denote intermediates, whereas TS1 - TS8 are transition states

approximately sp^3 in I5. Since C4 is bonded to the sp^2 hybridized carbon atoms, the planarity of I5 is significantly disturbed, and the intermediate is under significant strain. As a consequence, I5 is particularly unstable (Table 1 in Electronic supplementary material and Fig. 4). This intermediate is a carbone species with a lone electron pair

in the sp^{1.66} orbital on C5. In addition, the HOMO map of I5 indicates that C5 is most electron-sufficient (Fig. 5). This lone pair attacks C12 forming TS6 with E_a of 17.44 kJ mol⁻¹. This reaction step includes the cleavage of the C4-C12 bond and the formation of the C5-C12 bond, i.e., a simultaneous ring contraction/ring expansion





process. The intermediate I6, whose structure slightly deviates from planarity, is formed. In the further course of the reaction, H4 transfers from C8 to C7 with a simultaneous cleavage of the C7-C8 bond, thus forming

I7. This process requires E_a of 312.08 kJ mol⁻¹. I7 is a carbene with a lone electron pair in the sp^{0.54} orbital on C8. The last step of the reaction comprises the nucleo-philic attack of C8 on the partially positively charged H6

Table 1	Bond distances	that undergo	significant	changes	during the	isomerization	of 1,4-diethynil	anthracene into	dicyclopen	ta[<i>de,mn</i>]anthracene
---------	----------------	--------------	-------------	---------	------------	---------------	------------------	-----------------	------------	------------------	-------------

Distance (Å)	I1	TS1	I2	TS2	I3	TS3	I4	TS4
C7-C8	1.424	1.461	1.450	1.489	1.465	1.460	1.470	1.485
C8-C9	1.205	1.363	1.524	1.445	1.364	1.369	1.374	1.366
C9-H4	1.062	1.080	1.099	1.242	2.184	2.187	2.185	2.178
С9-Н5	3.003	1.351	1.099	1.086	1.081	1.082	1.082	1.081
C9-C10	3.689	1.667	1.526	1.494	1.470	1.473	1.480	1.489
C10-H5	1.084	1.239	2.208	2.285	2.274	2.277	2.288	2.295
C8-H4	2.267	2.243	2.141	1.400	1.081	1.081	1.081	1.081
C1-H1	1.084	1.084	1.084	1.085	1.084	2.102	2.222	2.308
C1-C2	3.689	3.797	3.798	3.806	3.809	2.448	1.541	1.515
C2-C3	1.205	1.205	1.205	1.205	1.205	1.328	1.542	1.445
C2-H1	3.003	3.199	3.213	3.220	3.220	1.110	1.098	1.086
C2-H2	1.062	1.063	1.063	1.063	1.062	1.082	1.098	1.253
C3-C4	1.424	1.422	1.422	1.424	1.423	1.410	1.456	1.507
С3-Н2	2.267	2.268	2.268	2.267	2.268	2.166	2.155	1.391

Table 2 Bond distances that suffer significant changes during the isomerization process of dicyclopenta[*de,mn*]anthracene into dicyclopenta[*jk,mn*]phenanthrene

Distance (Å)	P1	TS5	15	TS6	I6	TS7	I7	TS8	P3
C3-C4	1.488	1.516	1.525	1.511	1.433	1.424	1.414	1.413	1.413
C4-H3	2.156	1.227	1.111	1.099	1.085	1.085	1.085	1.085	1.085
С5-Н3	1.085	1.427	2.046	2.187	2.171	2.170	2.161	2.161	2.160
C4-C5	1.381	1.444	1.506	1.449	1.379	1.382	1.383	1.384	1.383
C4-C12	1.413	1.446	1.495	1.563	2.357	2.370	2.400	2.403	2.405
C5-C12	2.373	2.518	2.477	2.004	1.414	1.412	1.412	1.411	1.410
C7-C8	1.488	1.486	1.450	1.447	1.487	2.198	4.478	4.708	4.751
C8-C9	1.364	1.364	1.378	1.385	1.370	1.326	1.305	1.330	1.361
C9-C10	1.491	1.490	1.473	1.462	1.510	1.490	1.460	1.461	1.463
C8-H4	1.081	1.081	1.080	1.081	1.081	1.147	4.307	4.701	4.867
С9-Н5	1.081	1.082	1.083	1.082	1.082	1.087	1.101	1.086	1.081
C7-H4	2.293	2.289	2.259	2.261	2.302	1.610	1.081	1.081	1.081
C8-H6	4.377	4.383	4.399	4.396	4.500	4.228	2.032	1.154	1.082
C8-C11	4.585	4.585	4.583	4.586	4.675	4.546	2.869	2.108	1.471
С11-Н6	1.084	1.084	1.085	1.084	1.085	1.084	1.093	1.438	2.269

(the NBO charge=0.208), forming TS8 with E_a of 54.54 kJ mol⁻¹. Simultaneous formation of the C8-C11 bond and cleavage of the C11-H6 bond lead to the formation of the second five-membered ring in the molecule P3.

It can be seen that steps requiring significant energetic changes are the transformations of P1 into I5 and I6 into I7, and that the initial stage of the P1 \rightarrow P3 isomerization is the rate determining step (RDS). In the case of the possible reverse reaction, the rearrangements of P3 into I7 (which is RDS) and the formation of TS6 from I6 require significantly high E_a values of 362.77 and 307.52 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. Since E_a for the formation of TS5 from P1 is slightly lower than that for the formation of TS8 from P3 (by about 8 kJ mol⁻¹), we



Fig. 4 Energy profile of the formation of P1 and its transformation into P3. See Fig. 2 for definition of symbols

believe that the greater stability of P3 over molecule P1 $(\Delta G^{298}=95.79 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ is crucial for the shifting the reaction to the right side. Thus, the reaction favors the formation of the molecule P3.



Fig. 5 NBO charges of I1, HOMO of I2 and HOMO map of I5. In the HOMO map the regions where the values of the HOMO are most positive are indicated in blue, whereas the regions where they are least negative are indicated in red. (In the grayscale image, the darkest regions depict the greatest values of the HOMO)

Summary

Our study revealed two isomerization pathways: the formation and the isomerization of P1. The suggestion of Scott et al. that P1 is formed from 1,4-diethynilanthracene is again confirmed through a thoroughly examined mechanism. The energetics of this isomerization is in agreement with the high-temperature regime of the FVP experiments. In our previous study [7], it was established that the extreme temperature of 1100 °C provokes the mutual isomerization of P2 and P3, thus forming an isomeric mixture. The RDS for the $P2 \rightarrow P3$ process requires an activation energy of 361.03 kJ mol⁻¹. The present study shows that the isomerization of P1 into P3 is energetically more favorable than the isomerization of P2 into P3, and is competitive to the isomerization of P1 into P2. We also predict that higher stability of P3 over P1 is responsible for directing the mechanism toward the formation of P3, and thus disabling the detection of the molecule P1. Concerning this, the presence of P3 in reaction mixtures is caused not only by the transformation of P2, but also by the intraconversion of the very unstable isomer P1.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the Ministry of Science of Serbia, project No 144015G and 142025.

References

- Sarobe M, Jenneskens LV, Wesseling J, Snoeijer JD, Zwikker JW, Wiersum UE (1997) Thermal interconversions of the C₁₆H₁₀ cyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons fluoranthene, acephenanthrylene and aceanthrylene revisited. Liebigs Ann/ Recueil 1207-1213
- Sarobe M, Jenneskens LW, Wesseling J, Wiersum UE (1997) High temperature gas phase syntheses of C₂₀H₁₂ cyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: benz[*I*]-acephenanthrylene and benz[*j*]acephenanthrylene and their selective rearrangement to benzo[*j*]fluoranthene. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 2:703–708
- Marsh ND, Wornat MJ (2004) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons with five-membered rings: distributions within isomer families in experiments and computed equilibria. J Phys Chem A 108:5399–5407
- Cioslowski J, Schimeczek M, Piskorz P, Moncrieff D (1999) Thermal rearrangement of ethynylarenes to cyclopentafused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: an electronic structure study. J Am Chem Soc 121:3773–3778
- Violi A, Sarofim AF, Truong TN (2001) Quantum mechanical study of molecular weight growth process by combination of aromatic molecules. Combust Flame 126:1506–1515
- Marković S, Stanković S, Radenković S, Gutman I (2008) Thermal isomerization in cyclopenta[*fg*]aceanthrylene. Monats Chem published on Web: October 3, 2008
- Marković S, Stanković S, Radenković S, Gutman I (2008) Electronic structure study of thermal intraconversions of some dicyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic compounds. J Chem Inf Model 48:1984–1989

- Nimlos M, Filley J, McKinnon JT (2005) Hydrogen atom mediated Stone-Wales rearrangement of pyracyclene: model for annealing in fullerene formation. J Phys Chem A 109:9896–9903
- 9. Alder RW, Harvey J (2004) Radical-promoted Stone-Wales rearrangements. J Am Chem Soc 126:2490–2494
- Otero-Lobato MJ, Kaats-Richters VEM, Havenith RWA, Jenneskens LW, Seinen W (2004) Di-epoxides of the three isomeric dicyclopenta-fused pyrenes: ultimate mutagenic active agents. Mutat Res 564:39–50
- Wang J, He X, Mulder PPJ, Boere BB, Cornelisse J, Lugtenburg J, Busby WFJ (1999) Comparative tumorigenicity of the cyclopentafused PAH aceanthrylene, dihydroaceanthrylene and acephenanthrylene in preweanling cd-1and BLU: Ha mouse bioassays. Carcinogenesis 20:1137–1141
- Howard JB, Longwell JP, Marr JA, Pope CJ (1995) Effects of PAH isomerizations on mutagenicity of combustion products. Combust Flame 101:262–270
- Scott LT, Necula A (1997) Thermal migration of an ethynyl group from one benzene ring to another by reversible vinylidene C-H insertion. Tetrahedron Lett 38:1877–1880
- 14. Sarobe M, Kwint HC, Fleer T, Havenith RWA, Jenneskens LW, Vlietstra EJ, van Lenthe JH, Wesseling J (1999) Flash vacuum thermolysis of acenaphtho[1,2-*a*]acenaphthylene, fluoranthene, benzo[*k*]- and benzo[*j*]fluoranthene homolytic scission of carbon-carbon single bonds of internally fused cyclopenta moieties at $T \ge 1100$ °C. Eur J Org Chem 1191–1200
- Necula A, Scott LT (2000) High temperature behavior of alternant and nonalternant polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. J Anal Appl Pyrol 54:65–87
- Dosa PI, Schleifenbaum A, Vollhardt KPC (2001) Isomerization of linear to angular [3]phenylene and PAHs under flash vacuum pyrolysis conditions. Org Lett 3:1017–1020
- Jenneskens LW, Sarobe M, Zwikker JW (1996) Thermal generation and (inter)conversion of (multi) cyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Pure Appl Chem 68:219–224
- Becke AD (1988) Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior. Phys Rev A 38:3098–3100
- Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Phys Rev B 37:785–789
- Becke AD (1993) Density-functional thermochemistry. II. The role of exact exchange. J Chem Phys 98:5648–5652
- 21. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Zakrzewski VG, Montgomery JA Jr, Stratmann RE, Burant JC, Dapprich S, Millam JM, Daniels AD, Kudin KN, Strain MC, Farkas O, Tomasi J, Barone V, Cossi M, Cammi R, Mennucci B, Pomelli C, Adamo C, Clifford S, Ochterski J, Petersson GA, Ayala PY, Cui Q, Morokuma K, Malick AD, Rabuck KD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB, Cioslowski J, Ortiz JV, Baboul AG, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Gomperts R, Martin RL, Fox DJ, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Challacombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson B, Chen W, Wong MW, Andres JL, Gonzalez C, Head-Gordon M, Replogle ES, Pople JA (2003) Gaussian 03, Revision E.01-SMP; Gaussian Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA
- Foster JP, Weinhold F (1980) Natural hybrid orbitals. J Am Chem Soc 102:7211–7218
- Scott LT, Roelofs NH (1987) Benzene ring contractions at high temperatures. Evidence from the thermal interconversions of aceanthrylene, acephenanthrylene, and fluoranthene. J Am Chem Soc 109:5461–5465
- Scott LT (1996) Fragments of fullerenes: novel syntheses, structures and reactions. Pure Appl Chem 68:291–300
- Marković Z, Marković S (2008) Last step of the para route of the Kolbe-Schmitt reaction. J Chem Inf Model 48:143–147